

APPLICATION NO.

10/764,170

2574

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

01/22/2004

12/07/2005

7590

JENNER & BLOCK, LLP

ONE IBM PLAZA

CHICAGO, IL 60611

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov	113-1430
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
37041-11007	7836
EXAM	INER
TRUONG	, BAO Q

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 2875

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Bahar N. Wadia

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/764,170	WADIA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bao Q. Truong	2875	

·	Bao Q. Truong	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		a) and the annronriate extr	ension fee have		
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension (2) or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.		
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	be filed within the time period set to	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(а).		
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hacausa		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> .			•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a not sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> wit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a		
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition fo	r allowance		
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/0D/00 DTO 4440) D	Na/a)			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:			/		
		JOHN ANTHON	· /WARD		

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument regarding to claims 1 and 29 are not persuasive. Chen [US 5,226,723] discloses a substrate [2] defining at least one penetration [at 21], a light emitting device [3, 1] mounted to a surface of the substrate [2], and the light emitting device [3, 1] being electrical connected to a corresponding electrical conductor [31] disposed on the substrate [2] (figures 1 and 2). Moreover, the applicant's drawings show the light emitting device [34] mounted to the substrate [12], which does not define any penetrations. The applicant recites there is no motivation to combine Chen [US 5,226,723] and GB [1 585 392]. However, GB [1 585 392] discloses it is possible, if desired, to use diodes of another type having a more direct radiation...to illuminate solely one area of small diameter (page 2 lines 70-75]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the side wall with an opaque material [a reflective surface] of Chen [US 5,226,723] to concentrate more light output at the desired small area.